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## Largest Eigenvalue of Real Wishart Matrix

Let $\xi_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be distributed as $N_{m}\left(\mu_{i}, \Sigma\right)$.
The Wishart distribution $W_{m}(n, \Sigma ; \Omega)$ is induced by the random matrix

$$
W=\Xi^{\top}, \quad \equiv=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}
$$

where $\Omega=\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \mu_{i}^{\top}$ is the parameter matrix.
We call $W_{m}(n, \Sigma ; \Omega)$ non-central if $\Omega \neq 0$.
Let $\lambda_{1}(W)$ be the largest eigenvalue of $W$. The distribution of $\lambda_{1}(W)$ is of particular interest in testing hypothesis.

## Motivation and Previous works

Let $W_{m}(n, \Sigma ; \Omega)$ be non-central.
Goal: Efficient evaluation of $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lambda_{1}(W) \geq x\right)$ for many $x$.
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Our contribution: Efficient evaluation of $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lambda_{1}(W) \geq x\right)$ if $W$ is a real matrix and $\Omega \neq 0$.
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## Euler Characteristic Method

Let $W_{m}(n, \Sigma ; \Omega)$ be non-central and $W$ be a real matrix.
Difficulty: No explicit formula for $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lambda_{1}(W) \geq x\right)$.
Adler, Tayler and Takemura (2000, 2005), Kuriki and Takemura (2001, 2008, 2009): Use Euler characteristic heuristic to approximate probabilities of random fields.

Fact: $\lambda_{1}(W)^{1 / 2}$ is the maximum of a Gaussian field

$$
\left\{u^{\top} \equiv v \mid\|u\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}=\|v\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}=1\right\} .
$$

Idea: Approximation by the expected Euler characteristic heuristic:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lambda_{1}(W) \geq x\right) \approx E\left[\chi\left(M_{x}\right)\right] \quad \text { when } x \text { is large, }
$$

where $M_{x}$ is a manifold induced by $W$ and $x$.

## Outline

- Explicit formula for the expectation of th Euler characteristic number of a manifold related to a random matrix
- Numerical evaluation for the integral formula by holonomic gradient method


## Manifold of a Random Matrix

Let $A$ be a real $2 \times 2$ random matrix. Define a manifold

$$
M=\left\{h g^{T} \mid g \in S, h \in S\right\}
$$

Set

$$
f(U)=\operatorname{tr}(U A), \quad U \in M
$$

and

$$
M_{x}=\{U \in M \mid f(U) \geq x\}
$$

which is a manifold induced by $A$ and $x$.

## Euler Characteristic Number

Let $A$ be a real $2 \times 2$ random matrix and $M_{x}$ be the related manifold.

Recall: The Euler characteristic is defined for the surfaces of polyhedra by

$$
\chi=V-E+F
$$

For convex polyhedron's surface, $\chi=2$.
We can also define the Euler characteristic for $M_{x}$ and denote it by $\chi\left(M_{x}\right)$.

## Expectation of the Euler Characteristic Number

Let $A$ be a real $2 \times 2$ random matrix and $M_{x}$ be the related manifold.

Recall: $f(U)=\operatorname{tr}(U A), \quad U \in M_{x}$.
Let $h g^{T}$ be a critical point of $f$. Take $(g, G) \in S O(2)$ and $(h, H) \in S O(2)$. Set

$$
\sigma=g^{T} A h, \quad b=G^{T} A H
$$

which are singular values of $A$.
Theorem 1: Assume $x>0$ and $f(U)$ is a Morse function for almost all $A$ 's. Then $E\left[\chi\left(M_{x}\right)\right]$ is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} d \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{S} G^{T} d g \int_{S} H^{T} d h\left(\sigma^{2}-b^{2}\right) p(A)
$$

## Expectation of the Euler Characteristic Number

Recall: Approximation by the expected Euler characteristic heuristic:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lambda_{1}(W) \geq x\right) \approx E\left[\chi\left(M_{x}\right)\right] \quad \text { when } x \text { is large, }
$$

where $M_{x}$ is a manifold induced by $W=A A^{T}$ and $x$.
Goal: Efficient evaluation of the integral in Theorem 1 when $A A^{T}$ is a non-central Wishart matrix and $x$ is large.

## Expectation of the Euler Characteristic Number

$$
\text { Let } \begin{aligned}
M & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{11} & 0 \\
m_{21} & m_{22}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 / s_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 1 / s_{2}
\end{array}\right) \text { such that } \\
A & =\sqrt{\Sigma} V+M, \text { where } V=\left(v_{i j}\right), \quad v_{i j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \text { i.i.d. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the integral in Theorem 1 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} d \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\sigma, b, s, t) d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f=\frac{s_{1} s_{2}\left(\sigma^{2}-b^{2}\right)}{\left(1+s^{2}\right)\left(1+t^{2}\right)} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} R\right\}, \quad R \in \mathbb{Q}(\sigma, b, s, t)
$$

We denote (1) by $F(M, \Sigma ; x)$.

## Challenge for Evaluation

Assume $A=\sqrt{\Sigma} V+M$, where $V=\left(v_{i j}\right), v_{i j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ i. i. d..

- $F(M, \Sigma ; x)$ contains parameters $M, \Sigma$.
- Numerical integration for $F(M, \Sigma ; x)$ is time-consuming and not reliable for many $x$.

Observation: the integrand of $F(M, \Sigma ; x)$ is holonomic (D-finite).
Idea: Use holonomic gradient method to evaluate $F(M, \Sigma ; x)$.

## Holonomic Gradient Method

$f(\theta, t)$ : unnormalized probability distribution function w.r.t. $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, where $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$ is a parameter vector.

$$
z(\theta)=\int_{\Omega} f(\theta, t) d t
$$

is the normalizing constant. $f(t, \theta) / z(\theta)$ is a probability distribution function on $\Omega$. Evaluation of $z(\theta)$ is a fundamental problem in statistics.

Example: $f(\theta, t)=\exp \left(\frac{-t^{2}}{2 \theta^{2}}\right)$ with $\Omega=(-\infty,+\infty)$. Then

$$
z(\theta)=\sqrt{2 \pi \theta^{2}}
$$

## Holonomic Gradient Method

An analytic function $f(x)$ is called holonomic or D-finite when it satisfies $n$ linear ODE's (holonomic system)

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{r_{i}} a_{i j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{j} f=0, \quad a_{i j}(x) \in \mathrm{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

Theorem (Zeilberger, 1990): If $f(x)$ is holonomic, then the integral $\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x_{n}$ is holonomic in $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ (under some conditions on $\Omega$ ).

Holonomic Gradient Method (Nakayama et al., 2011): When $f(\theta, t)$ is holonomic, the normalizing constant $z(\theta)$ satisfies a system of linear PDEs, which can be constructed by Gröbner bases. Evaluate $z(\theta)$ and its derivatives by the system with methods in numerical analysis.

## 3 Steps of Holonomic Gradient Method

1. Construct a Pfaffian system for $z(\theta)$.
2. Evaluate numerically $z(\theta)$ and its derivatives at $\theta=\theta_{0}$.
3. Apply numerical analysis methods for the Pfaffian system.

Example:

$$
z(\theta)=\int_{\Omega} \exp (\theta t) t^{1 / 2}(1-t)^{1 / 2} d t, \quad \Omega=[0,1]
$$

By creative telescoping,

$$
\left(\theta \partial_{\theta}^{2}+(3-\theta) \partial_{\theta}-3 / 2\right) z=0, \quad \partial_{\theta}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}
$$

Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Z=P Z$, where

$$
Z=\binom{z}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} z}, \quad P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
\frac{3}{2 \theta} & -\frac{3-\theta}{\theta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Evaluation of the Expected Euler Characteristic

Recall: $E\left[\chi\left(M_{x}\right)\right]=F(M, \Sigma ; x)$ is equal to

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} d \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\sigma, b, s, t) d t
$$

where $f$ is hyperexponential over $\mathbb{Q}(\sigma, b, s, t)$. Thus, $-F^{\prime}(M, \Sigma ; x)$ is equal to

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, b, s, t) d t
$$

Idea: Use creative telescoping method to derive an ODE for $F^{\prime}(M, \Sigma ; x)$

## Creative Telescoping Method

Given a holonomic function $f(\theta, t)$ with annihilator

$$
\operatorname{ann}(f) \subset \mathrm{C}(\theta, t)\left[\partial_{\theta}, \partial_{t}\right]
$$

Find nontrivial

$$
P\left(\theta, \partial_{\theta}\right)+\partial_{t} Q\left(\theta, t, \partial_{\theta}, \partial_{t}\right) \in \operatorname{ann}(f)
$$

Then $z(\theta)=\int_{\Omega} f(\theta, t) d t$ satisfies $P(z)=0$ (under some conditions on $\Omega$ ). We call $P$ a telescoper for $\operatorname{ann}(f)$.

## Creative Telescoping Method

- (Zeilberger, 1990): Sylvester's dialytic elimination for multiple integrals
- (Takayama, 1992; Oaku, 1997): D-module theoretical algorithms for multiple integrals
- (Chyzak, 2000): a generalization of Gosper's algorithm for single integrals of multivariate holonomic functions
- (Koutschan, 2010): rational ansatz approach for multiple integrals
- (Bostan et al., 2010, 2013; Chen et al., 2015, 2016): reduction-based algorithms for single integrals of bivariate holonomic functions


## Chyzak's algorithm

Given a holonomic function $f(\theta, t)$ with annihilator

$$
\operatorname{ann}(f) \subset R=\mathrm{C}(\theta, t)\left[\partial_{\theta}, \partial_{t}\right]
$$

We call $\operatorname{dim}_{C}(R / \operatorname{ann}(f))$ the (holonomic) rank of ann $(f)$.
Goal: Drive an ODE for

$$
G(M, \Sigma ; x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, b, s, t) d t
$$

where $f$ is hyperexponential over $\mathbb{Q}(\sigma, b, s, t)$.
Using Chyzak's algorithm, find a holonomic system of rank 2 for

$$
f_{1}(x, b, s)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, b, s, t) d t
$$

in 5 seconds using a Linux computer with 15.10 GB RAM.

## Chyzak's algorithm

Goal: Drive an ODE for

$$
G(M, \Sigma ; x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}(x, b, x) d s
$$

where ann $\left(f_{1}\right)$ has holonomic rank 2.
Using Chyzak's algorithm, find a holonomic system of rank 6 for

$$
f_{2}(x, b)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}(x, b, s) d s
$$

in 16 mins by specifying $M$ and $\Sigma$.
Question: Is it possible to compute a holonomic system for $f_{2}$ without specifying $M$ and $\Sigma$ ?

## Stafford Heuristic

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n} & =\mathbb{K}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left[\partial_{1}, \ldots, \partial_{n}\right] \\
T_{n} & =\left\{\partial_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{n}^{i_{n}} \mid\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Heuristic: Given a holonomic system $H$ in $R_{n}$, compute new holonomic system $H_{1}$ in $R_{n-1}$ s.t. $H_{1} \subset\left(R_{n} \cdot H+\partial_{n} R_{n}\right) \cap R_{n-1}$.

1. Pick $S_{1}, S_{2} \in T_{n-1}$.
2. Using rational ansatz method, check existence of telescoper $P_{i}$ of $H$ with support $S_{i}, i=1,2$. If $P_{i}$ exists, go to step 3 . Otherwise, go to step 1.
3. Compute Gröbner bais $H_{1}$ of $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}$. If $H_{1}$ is holonomic, then output $G_{1}$. Otherwise, go to step 1.

Stafford Theorem: Every lefy ideal in $R_{n}$ can be generated by 2 elements.

## Stafford Heuristic

Goal: Drive an ODE for

$$
G(M, \Sigma ; x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}(x, b, x) d s
$$

where $\operatorname{ann}\left(f_{1}\right)=\langle H\rangle$ has holonomic rank 2.

1. Pick

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\left\{1, \partial_{b}, \partial_{x}, \partial_{b}^{2}, \partial_{b} \partial_{x}, \partial_{x}^{2}, \partial_{x}^{3}\right\} \\
& S_{2}=S_{1} \cup\left\{\partial_{b}^{2} \partial_{x}, \partial_{b} \partial_{x}^{2}, \partial_{b}^{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Using rational ansatz method, find telescoper $P_{i}$ of $H$ with support $S_{i}, i=1,2$.
3. Compute Gröbner bais $H_{1}$ of $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}$. We find that $H_{1}$ has holonomic rank 6.

## Chyzak's algorithm vs Stafford Heuristic

Goal: Drive an ODE for

$$
G(M, \Sigma ; x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}(x, b, x) d s
$$

where ann $\left(f_{1}\right)$ has holonomic rank 2.
Below is a table of time (seconds) for deriving holonomic systems of

$$
f_{2}(x, b)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}(x, b, s) d s
$$

| \# pars | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chyzak | 976 | $9.8 \times 10^{4}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Heuristic | 43.49 | 394.4 | 8527 | $4.3957 \times 10^{5}$ | - | $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ |
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